

Implementation Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved

Overall Rating:	Highly Satisfactory
Decision:	Continue as planned: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. All management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.
Portfolio/Project Number:	00080824
Portfolio/Project Title:	Social Protection Policy Support
Portfolio/Project Date:	2014-01-01 / 2025-12-31

1. Is the project pro-actively identifying changes to the external environment and incorporating them into the project strategy?

- 3: *The project team has identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives and the assumptions have been tested to determine if the project's strategy is still valid. There is evidence that the project board has considered the implications, and documented any changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project team has identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes may not have been fully integrated in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team may have considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team has considered changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

The project is working closely with the government and there are often frequent transfers of govt officials. The project has taken this account into factor and taken measures to mitigate it as much as possible.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- 3: *The project responds at least one of the development settings³ as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopts at least one Signature Solution⁴ and the project's RRF includes at all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project responds to one of the three areas of **development work**¹ as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may respond to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside the UNDP Strategic Plan. Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

Evidence:

The project is aligned with the SP responds to the development setting of eradicating poverty in all its forms and dimensions, and adopts the Signature Solutions of keeping people out of poverty and strengthening effective and accountable governance.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	CopyofSPPS_IRRF_12045_202 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CopyofSPPS_IRRF_12045_202.xlsx)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 5:35:00 AM

Relevant

Quality Rating: Exemplary

3. Are the project's targeted groups being systematically engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remains relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback has been collected over the past two years from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups are active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups have been engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, has been collected over the past year to ensure the project is addressing local priorities. This information has been used to inform project decision making. (all must be true)
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected over the past year, but this information has not been used to inform project decision making. This option is also selected if no beneficiary feedback has been collected.
- Not Applicable*

Evidence:

It is a project that is implemented at the policy level.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

4. Is the project generating knowledge and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- 3: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring have been discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, have been considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned have been collected by the project team. There is little or no evidence that this has informed project decision making.

Evidence:

Key Achievements and challenges are captured in the reflection workshop and annual progress report and necessary actions have been taken as suggested.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	progressreportNSSS-Copy_12045_204 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/progressreportNSSS-Copy_12045_204.pdf)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 5:41:00 AM

5. Is the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: *There is credible evidence that the project is reaching a sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.*
- 2: While the project is currently not at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- 1: The project is not at scale, and there are no plans currently to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

The project is reaching a sufficient number of beneficiaries indirectly, through policy change that is reflected in the Annual National Budget documents to meaningfully contribute to development change.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	SocialProtectionPrograms_2021-22_English_12045_205 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/SocialProtectionPrograms_2021-22_English_12045_205.pdf)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 5:43:00 AM

Principled

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

6. Are the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and producing the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes have been made.

- 3: *The project team has systematically gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)*
- 2: The project team has some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team has limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

The project produced a Gender Strategy Action plan which targets the practical gender needs of women and girls, as well as the need for fostering women's empowerment. The project produced National Social Security Strategy (NSSS) aims at promoting gender equality and emphasizes mainstreaming gender issues in social security.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	GenderStrategyActionPlanforNSSSRRevised-Dec1911668_12045_206 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/GenderStrategyActionPlanforNSSSRRevised-Dec1911668_12045_206.docx)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 5:50:00 AM

7. Are social and environmental impacts and risks being successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks are tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for Substantial and High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there has been a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP is updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- 2: *Social and environmental risks are tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for Substantial and High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project is categorized as Low risk through the SESP.*
- 1: Social and environmental risks have not been tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High, Substantial, and Moderate Risk there is no evidence that social and environmental assessments have been completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There have been substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP has not been updated. (any may be true)

Evidence:

Social risks are taken into account and updated in the project's risk log on a regular basis and mitigating measures have also been undertaken. These risks have also been updated in the Atlas as well.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	RISKLOG_12045_207 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/RISKLOG_12045_207.docx)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 7:27:00 AM

8. Are grievance mechanisms available to project-affected people and are grievances (if any) addressed to ensure any perceived harm is effectively mitigated?

- 3: Project-affected people have been actively informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project is categorized as High, Substantial, or Moderate Risk through the SESP, a project-level grievance mechanism is in place and project affected people informed. If grievances have been received, they are effectively addressed in accordance with SRM Guidance. (all must be true)
- 2: Project-affected people have been informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism and how to access it. If the project is categorized as Substantial or High Risk through the SESP, a project-level grievance mechanism is in place and project affected people informed. If grievances have been received they are responded to but face challenges in arriving at a resolution.
- 1: *Project-affected people not informed of UNDP's Corporate Accountability Mechanism. If grievances have been received they are not responded to. (any may be true)*

Evidence:

Being a Policy Project and not directly related to any project beneficiary and thus GRS is not in place. The GRS that is in place is integrated with the national system.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Management & Monitoring

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

9. Is the project's M&E Plan sufficient and adequately implemented?

- 3: *The project has a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones are fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF is being reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, including during evaluations and/or After Action Reviews, are used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project has a costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets are populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF is collected on a regular basis, although there may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources are not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, meet most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned have been captured but may not have been used to take corrective actions yet. (all must be true)
- 1: The project has an M&E Plan, but costs are not clearly planned and budgeted for, or are unrealistic. Progress data is not being regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations may not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned are rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project does not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

The Project has a comprehensive and costed M&E plan where baselines, milestones and targets have been populated for RRF indicators that are SMART. Sex disaggregated and relevant data has been collected regularly from credible sources to capture the attainment of the project interventions.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	MonitoringandEvaluationNSSSReport25877_12045_209 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/MonitoringandEvaluationNSSSReport25877_12045_209.pdf)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 5:53:00 AM

10. Is project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) functioning as intended?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism is operating well, and is a model for other projects. It has met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings are on file. There is regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviews and uses evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: *The project's governance mechanism has met in the agreed frequency and the minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report has been submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once in the past year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)*
- 1: The project's governance mechanism has not met in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent is not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

Evidence:

The project's governance mechanism is in place with regular minutes of the meeting shared on the web site with all concerned stakeholders. A project progress report is prepared to cover the results of interventions, risks mitigation initiatives and opportunities for future directions.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	CMC-Focal-Point-Meeting-Notes-for-Record-Day-3-2021-12-15_12045_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CMC-Focal-Point-Meeting-Notes-for-Record-Day-3-2021-12-15_12045_210.pdf)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 6:55:00 AM
2	2021AnnualProgressReport_12045_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/2021AnnualProgressReport_12045_210.docx)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 7:30:00 AM

11. Are risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

- 3: The project has actively monitored risks every quarter including consulting with key stakeholders, including security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks and to assess if the main assumptions remain valid. There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures are being fully implemented to address each key project risk, and have been updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)
- 2: *The project has monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates have been made to management plans and mitigation measures.*
- 1: The risk log has not been updated as required. There may be some evidence that the project has monitored risks (including security risks or incidents) that may affect the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions have been taken to mitigate risks. In the case of a deteriorating security environment, no consultation has occurred with the UNDP Security Office on appropriate measures.

Evidence:

The risks identified each year is reflected in the project risk log. The risks are also included and updated regularly in the Atlas including the mitigating measures. The risk log is also uploaded in the previous section, under Q-7.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Efficient

Quality Rating: Exemplary

12. Adequate resources have been mobilized to achieve intended results. If not, management decisions were taken to adjust expected results in the project's results framework.

- Yes
- No

Evidence:

Resources have been mobilized adequately in order to ensure the intended results of project interventions.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	AnnexBThirdPartyCSAFinal_DFATSigned18044_12045_212 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/AnnexBThirdPartyCSAFinal_DFATSigned18044_12045_212.pdf)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 7:05:00 AM

13. Are project inputs procured and delivered on time to efficiently contribute to results?

- 3: *The project has an updated procurement plan. Implementation of the plan is on or ahead of schedule. The project quarterly reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addresses them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)*
- 2: The project has an updated procurement plan. The project annually reviews operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner and addresses them through appropriate management actions. (all must be true)
- 1: The project does not have an updated procurement plan. The project may or may not have reviewed operational bottlenecks to procuring inputs in a timely manner, however management actions have not been taken to address them.

Evidence:

The project has an updated annual procurement plan. Following this plan, all procured goods and services run through the UNDP Country Office Procurement Unit ensuring competitive, cost-effective procurement. UNDP Country Office conducts annual audits ensuring compliance and proper record/receipt keeping. The project considers VfM via economy (ensuring the right procurements), efficiency (monitoring economy against achieving results), and effectiveness (effect of all inputs toward achieving results).

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ProcurementPlane.18049_12045_213 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ProcurementPlane.18049_12045_213.pdf)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 6:51:00 AM

14. Is there regular monitoring and recording of cost efficiencies taking into account the expected quality of results?

- 3: *There is evidence that the project regularly reviews costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximizes results that can be delivered with given resources. The project actively coordinates with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or other) to ensure complementarity and seek efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be true)*
- 2: The project monitors its own costs and gives anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there is no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinates activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitors its own costs and is considering ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

Evidence:

In terms of procurement of services and goods, the project always considers the cost-effectiveness through a comparative analysis of bidders' proposals and taking the advice of the County Office including other projects and strictly maintaining the UNDP Procurement protocols.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

Effective

Quality Rating: Exemplary

15. Is the project is on track to deliver its expected outputs?

- Yes
- No

Evidence:

The project is on track to deliver its expected outputs. Evidence can be seen in the Logframe and Reflection Report.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ReflectionofProgressoftheSSPSProgramme16404_12045_215 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ReflectionofProgressoftheSSPSProgramme16404_12045_215.docx)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 6:53:00 AM

16. Have there been regular reviews of the work plan to ensure that the project is on track to achieve the desired results, and to inform course corrections if needed?

- 3: Quarterly progress data has informed regular reviews of the project work plan to ensure that the activities implemented are most likely to achieve the desired results. There is evidence that data and lessons learned (including from evaluations and/or After Action Reviews) have been used to inform course corrections, as needed. Any necessary budget revisions have been made. (both must be true)
- 2: There has been at least one review of the work plan per year to assess if project activities are on track to achieving the desired development results (i.e., outputs.) There may or may not be evidence that data or lessons learned has been used to inform the review(s). Any necessary budget revisions have been made.
- 1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs are delivered on time, no link has been made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management has taken place over the past year.

Evidence:

Quarterly progress data are monitored to check if project activities are on track to achieving the desired development, accordingly the budget is adjusted. Besides, Quarterly Progress Reports (QPR) is also produced.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	QuarterlyProgressReportQ32021SPPSProgramme11837_12045_216 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/QuarterlyProgressReportQ32021SPPSProgramme11837_12045_216.pdf)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 6:56:00 AM

17. Are targeted groups being systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results are achieved as expected?

- 3: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups are being reached as intended. The project has engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected and adjustments were made if necessary to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There has been some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- 1: The project does not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There may have been some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they are benefiting as expected, but it has been limited or has not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable*

Evidence:

It is a policy project and thus do not have any specific targeted groups.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

18. Are stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

- 3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to fully implement and monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)
- 2: *National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to implement and monitor the project, but other support (such as country office support or project systems) may also be used if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)*
- 1: There is relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

The project has strong engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	CMC-Focal-Point-Meeting-Notes-for-Record-Day-3-2021-12-15_12045_218 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/CMC-Focal-Point-Meeting-Notes-for-Record-Day-3-2021-12-15_12045_218.pdf)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 7:11:00 AM

19. There is regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed. The [implementation arrangements](#)⁵ have been adjusted according to changes in partner capacities.

- 3: *In the past two years, changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems have been comprehensively assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements have been formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (both must be true)*
- 2: In the past two years, aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have been monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment has been made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (both must be true)
- 1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.
- Not Applicable

Evidence:

Aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions like Cabinet Division and other key line Ministries officials and systems are monitored by the project and changes made in the systems are taken into account while devising implementation arrangements.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	NSSSActionPlanProgressReport-202217283_12045_219 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/NSSSActionPlanProgressReport-202217283_12045_219.docx)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 7:13:00 AM

20. The transition and phase-out arrangements are reviewed regularly and adjusted according to progress (including financial commitments and capacity).

- 3: The project's governance mechanism has reviewed the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan. The plan has been adjusted according to progress as needed. (both must be true)
- 2: *There has been a review of the project's sustainability plan, including arrangements for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting the requirements set out by the plan.*
- 1: The project may have a sustainability plan, but there has not been a review of this strategy since it was developed. Also select this option if the project does not have a sustainability strategy.

Evidence:

SPPS final project evaluation 2020 suggested that the sustainability of the project depends on the success of NSSS and SDG as policy reforms depends on the success of the implementation of NSS and SDG 1, 5, 8, 10. The final evaluation suggested that phasing out will be done in 2030 with the achievements of SDGs. It is understood that the SPPS Programme is not one-off assistance to an isolated venture of the government. Rather, the programme has been supporting a very comprehensive large scale long term national Social Security Strategy impinging on the core areas of economic and social priorities of the government. These interventions have enjoyed a strong political commitment at the highest level in the country. The SPPS Programme has successfully assisted the Government in laying the foundations for an integrated social security system in the country. The successful support of the SPPS Programme so far points to its continued relevance, and potential contribution it can make in its successive phases until the NSSS objectives are fully reached.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	EvaluationReport_SPPS_FinalEvaluation25831_12045_220 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/EvaluationReport_SPPS_FinalEvaluation25831_12045_220.pdf)	mehrin.karim@undp.org	2/28/2022 5:55:00 AM

QA Summary/Project Board Comments

Social Protection is one of the significant priorities of the government of Bangladesh where the SPPS project is instrumental to support streamlining policy support to the government. The project has played a pivotal role to enable improved coordination among the line ministries. Cabinet Division's ownership has supported the project in achieving its policy results and strategic engagement of wide range ministries is value adding towards achieving project results.